arXiv:hep-th/0504008v3 6 Apr 2005

Fifth-neighbor spin-spin correlator for the anti-ferromagnetic Heisenberg chain

Jun Sato[1,*] and Masahiro Shiroishi[1,†]

[1] *Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo,*
Kashiwanoha 5-1-5, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8571, Japan

(Dated: February 7, 2008)

Abstract

We study the generating function of the spin-spin correlation functions in the ground state of the anti-ferromagnetic spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain without magnetic field. We have found its fundamental functional relations from those for general correlation functions, which originate in the quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation. Using these relations, we have calculated the explicit form of the generating functions up to $n = 6$. Accordingly we could obtain the spin-spin correlator $\langle S_j^z S_{j+k}^z \rangle$ up to $k = 5$.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.50.Ee, 02.30.Ik

---

[*] junji@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

[†] siroisi@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Recently there have been rapid developments in the investigation of the exact calculation of the correlation functions for the spin-1/2 Heisenberg chains. Especially for the ground state without magnetic field, explicit analytical form of several correlation functions have been calculated in the thermodynamic limit. In this letter we shall report further results for exact calculation of correlation functions for the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg XXX chain, whose Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[S_j^x S_{j+1}^x + S_j^y S_{j+1}^y + S_j^z S_{j+1}^z \right], \tag{1}$$

where $S_j^\alpha = \sigma_j^\alpha/2$ with σ_j^α being the Pauli matrices acting on the j-th site. The Hamiltonian (1) can be diagonalized by Bethe ansatz and many bulk physical quantities have been evaluated in the thermodynamic limit [1, 2]. On the other hand, the exact calculation of the correlation functions is still an ongoing problem.

First, let us list the known exact results of the two-point spin-spin correlators $\langle S_j^z S_{j+k}^z \rangle$, which are physically most important correlation functions :

$$\left\langle S_j^z S_{j+1}^z \right\rangle = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{3}\zeta_a(1) = -0.147715726853315\cdots, \tag{2}$$

$$\left\langle S_j^z S_{j+2}^z \right\rangle = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{4}{3}\zeta_a(1) + \zeta_a(3) = 0.060679769956435\cdots, \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle S_j^z S_{j+3}^z \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{12} - 3\zeta_a(1) + \frac{74}{9}\zeta_a(3) - \frac{56}{9}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(3) - \frac{8}{3}\zeta_a(3)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{50}{9}\zeta_a(5) + \frac{80}{9}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(5) \\ &= -0.050248627257235\cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle S_j^z S_{j+4}^z \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{12} - \frac{16}{3}\zeta_a(1) + \frac{290}{9}\zeta_a(3) - 72\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(3) - \frac{1172}{9}\zeta_a(3)^2 - \frac{700}{9}\zeta_a(5) \\ &\quad + \frac{4640}{9}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(5) - \frac{220}{9}\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(5) - \frac{400}{3}\zeta_a(5)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{455}{9}\zeta_a(7) - \frac{3920}{9}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(7) + 280\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(7) \\ &= 0.034652776982728\cdots. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here $\zeta_a(s)$ is the alternating zeta function defined by $\zeta_a(s) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1}/n^s$, which is related to the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s) = \zeta_a(s)/(1-2^{1-s})$. Note that the alternating zeta function is regular at $s = 1$ and is given by $\zeta_a(1) = \ln 2$. Thus analytical form of the spin-spin correlators $\langle S_j^z S_{j+k}^z \rangle$ have been obtained up to $k = 4$ so far. The nearest-neighbor correlator (2) was obtained from Hulthén's result of the ground state energy in 1938 [3].

The second-neighbor correlator (3) was obtained by Takahashi in 1977 [4] via the strong coupling expansion of the ground state energy for the half-filled Hubbard chain. After that it had taken some long time before the explicit form of the third-neighbor correlator (4) was obtained by Sakai, Shiroishi, Nishiyama and Takahashi in 2003 [5]. They applied the Boos-Korepin method [6, 7] to evaluate the multiple integral formula for correlation functions developed by Kyoto group [8, 9, 10, 11, 12](see also [13]). The Boos-Korepin method was originally devised to calculate a special correlation function called the emptiness formation probability (EFP) [10], which is defined by

$$P(n) \equiv \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} + S_j^z \right) \right\rangle . \tag{6}$$

This is the probability to find a ferromagnetic string of length n in the ground state. The multiple integral formula for $P(n)$ as well as other correlation functions among the adjacent n-spins consists of the n-dimensional integrals.

By the use of Boos-Korepin method, we can reduce the multiple integrals to one-dimensional ones by transforming the integrand to a certain canonical form. In the case of the present XXX model, the remaining one-dimensional integrals can be further expressed in terms of $\zeta_a(s), s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Hence, *in principle*, we can obtain the explicit form of arbitrary correlation functions by Boos-Korepin method. However, *practically* it becomes a tremendously hard task to calculate the canonical form as the integral dimension increases. Actually $P(5)$ is the only correlation function which was calculated by Boos-Korepin method among those for five lattice sites [14].

To overcome such a difficulty, Boos, Korepin and Smirnov invented an alternative method to obtain $P(n)$ [15]. They consider the inhomogeneous XXX model, where each site carries an inhomogeneous parameter λ_j. Accordingly the correlation functions depend on the parameters λ_j, for example, $P_n(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$. Boos, Korepin and Smirnov derived simple functional relations, which $P_n(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ should satisfy from the underlying quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (qKZ) equations. Together with a simple ansatz for the final form of the $P_n(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$, they have shown the problem reduces to solving large linear systems of equations for rational coefficients. In this way they could calculate $P_n(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ up to $n = 6$. Especially, by taking the homogeneous limit $\lambda_j \to 0$, they obtained the analytical form of $P(n)$ up to $n = 6$. Thus this new method is more powerful than the original Boos-Korepin method to evaluate the multiple integrals. It was recently generalized to calculate arbitrary inhomogeneous correlation functions by Boos, Shiroishi and Takahashi [16]. They have calculated all the independent correlation functions among five lattice sites and especially the fourth-neighbor correlator (5). In this letter we explore these functional methods further. Especially main new aspect is to introduce the generating function of the spin-spin correlators [17, 18, 19, 20, 21] into the scheme, which allows us to calculate the $\langle S_j^z S_{j+k}^z \rangle$ more efficiently.

We remark that Boos, Jimbo, Miwa, Smirnov and Takeyama have obtained more explicit recursion relations for arbitrary correlation functions [22] (see also [23]) by investigating the qKZ equations more profoundly. Their formulas have proven the ansatz for the final form of the inhomogeneous correlation functions. However, the formulas contain unusual trace functions with a *fractional* dimension, effective evaluation of which has not been developed yet.

Here we define the generating function by

$$P_n^{\kappa}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \equiv \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} + S_j^z \right) + \kappa \left(\frac{1}{2} - S_j^z \right) \right\} \right\rangle (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n), \tag{7}$$

where κ is a parameter. Once we obtain the generating functions, we can calculate the two-point spin-spin correlators through the relation [20]

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle S_1^z S_{k+1}^z \right\rangle (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \kappa^2} \Big\{ & P_{k+1}^{\kappa}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_{k+1}) - P_k^{\kappa}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k) \\ & - P_k^{\kappa}(\lambda_2, ..., \lambda_{k+1}) + P_{k-1}^{\kappa}(\lambda_2, ..., \lambda_k) \Big\} \Big|_{\kappa=1} - \frac{1}{4}, \\ \left\langle S_1^z S_{k+1}^z \right\rangle = \lim_{\lambda_j \to 0} & \left\langle S_1^z S_{k+1}^z \right\rangle (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Note that $P_n^{\kappa}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n)$ is a natural generalization of the EFP as

$$P_n^{\kappa=0}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) = P_n(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n), \tag{9}$$

with other relations

$$P_n^{\kappa=1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) = 1, \tag{10}$$

$$P_n^{\kappa=-1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) = 2^n \langle \prod_{j=1}^{n} S_j^z \rangle (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n). \tag{11}$$

From the qKZ equations for the correlation functions [16, 22, 23], we find the generating function satisfies the following functional relations :

- Translational invariance

$$P_n^\kappa(\lambda_1 + x, \ldots, \lambda_n + x) = P_n^\kappa(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \tag{12}$$

- Negating relation

$$P_n^\kappa(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = P_n^\kappa(-\lambda_1, \ldots, -\lambda_n) \tag{13}$$

- Symmetry relation

$$P_n^\kappa(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_j, \lambda_{j+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n) = P_n^\kappa(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{j+1}, \lambda_j, \ldots, \lambda_n) \tag{14}$$

- First recurrent relation

$$P_n^\kappa(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_{n-1} \pm 1) = \kappa P_{n-2}^\kappa(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-2}) \tag{15}$$

- Second recurrent relation

$$\lim_{\lambda_n \to i\infty} P_n^\kappa(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n) = \frac{1+\kappa}{2} P_{n-1}^\kappa(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}) \tag{16}$$

Here and hereafter we follow the notations in [22] for the spectral parameter λ_j and also the transcendental function $\omega(\lambda)$ below. One easily sees, these functional relations reduce to those for the EFP, $P_n(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ [15] if we set $\kappa = 0$. According to the (proved) general form of the correlation functions and also by the symmetry relation (14), we can assume the generating function in the form

$$P_n^\kappa(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = \\ \sum_{l=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} \left\{ A_{n,l}^\kappa(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 | \ldots | \lambda_{2l-1}, \lambda_{2l} || \lambda_{2l+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n) \prod_{j=1}^{l} \omega(\lambda_{2j-1} - \lambda_{2j}) + \text{permutations} \right\}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\omega(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{2k(\lambda^2 - 1)}{\lambda^2 - k^2} + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{18}$$

is a generating function of the alternating zeta values

$$\omega(\lambda) = 2(\lambda^2 - 1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2k}\zeta_a(2k+1) + \frac{1}{2}. \tag{19}$$

Moreover the function $\omega(\lambda)$ has the following properties:

$$\omega(i\infty) = 0, \qquad \omega(\lambda \pm 1) = \alpha(\lambda) + \gamma_{\pm}(\lambda)\omega(\lambda). \tag{20}$$

where

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{\lambda^2 - 1}, \qquad \gamma_{\pm}(\lambda) = -\frac{\lambda(\lambda \pm 2)}{\lambda^2 - 1}. \tag{21}$$

Note also that $A^{\kappa}_{n,l}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2|\ldots|\lambda_{2l-1}, \lambda_{2l}||\lambda_{2l+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ are rational functions depending on the parameter κ with known denominators:

$$\begin{aligned} &A^{\kappa}_{n,l}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2|\ldots|\lambda_{2l-1}, \lambda_{2l}||\lambda_{2l+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{l} \lambda_{2k-1,2k} \prod_{2l+1 \le k < j \le n} \lambda_{kj}}{\prod_{1 \le k < j \le n} \lambda_{kj}} Q^{\kappa}_{n,l}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2|\ldots|\lambda_{2l-1}, \lambda_{2l}||\lambda_{2l+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\lambda_{kj} = \lambda_k - \lambda_j$ and $Q^{\kappa}_{n,l}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2|\ldots|\lambda_{2l-1}, \lambda_{2l}||\lambda_{2l+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ are some polynomials.

Our main proposal is to determine $A^{\kappa}_{n,l}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2|\ldots|\lambda_{2l-1}, \lambda_{2l}||\lambda_{2l+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and therefore the explicit form of the generating functions from the functional relations (12)–(16) together with the ansatz (17). Simple calculations yield that the second recurrent relation (16) is equivalent to the following recursion equation for $A^{\kappa}_{n,l}$:

$$\lim_{\lambda_n \to i\infty} A^{\kappa}_{n,l}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2|\ldots|\lambda_{2l-1}, \lambda_{2l}||\lambda_{2l+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n) = \frac{1+\kappa}{2} A^{\kappa}_{n-1,l}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2|\ldots|\lambda_{2l-1}, \lambda_{2l}||\lambda_{2l+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}). \tag{23}$$

Note that it is easy to see

$$A^{\kappa}_{n,0}(||\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = \left(\frac{1+\kappa}{2}\right)^n. \tag{24}$$

for any n. The first recurrent relation (15) can not be reduced to a general formula for $A^{\kappa}_{n,l}$. Instead, we write down the equations for $A^{\kappa}_{n,l}$ corresponding to the first recurrent relation up to $n = 3$:

$$\left(\frac{1+\kappa}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{2} A^{\kappa}_{2,1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_1 \pm 1||) = \kappa, \tag{25}$$

$$\left(\frac{1+\kappa}{2}\right)^3 + \alpha(\lambda_{12}) A^{\kappa}_{3,1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \pm 1||\lambda_2) - \frac{3}{2} A^{\kappa}_{3,1}(\lambda_2, \lambda_2 \pm 1||\lambda_1) = \kappa \left(\frac{1+\kappa}{2}\right), \tag{26}$$

$$A^{\kappa}_{3,1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2||\lambda_2 \pm 1) + \gamma_{\mp}(\lambda_{12}) A^{\kappa}_{3,1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \pm 1||\lambda_2) = 0. \tag{27}$$

Solving these equations, we have calculated the explicit forms of $Q^{\kappa}_{n,l}$ up to $n = 5$, which are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Q^{\kappa}_{2,1}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2||) = \frac{1}{6}(1-\kappa)^2, \qquad Q^{\kappa}_{3,1}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2||\lambda_3) = \frac{1+\kappa}{2}(1+\lambda_{13}\lambda_{23})Q^{\kappa}_{2,1}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2||),\\
&Q^{\kappa}_{4,1}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2||\lambda_3,\lambda_4) = \frac{1+\kappa}{2}(1+\lambda_{14}\lambda_{24})Q^{\kappa}_{3,1}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2||\lambda_3) - \frac{(1-\kappa)^4}{120}(\lambda_{12}^2-4),\\
&Q^{\kappa}_{4,2}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2|\lambda_3,\lambda_4||) = \frac{1}{24}\left(1+\frac{\kappa}{3}\right)(1+3\kappa)(1-\kappa)^2 + \frac{(1-\kappa)^4}{90}\left(\lambda_{12}^2-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{34}^2-\frac{3}{2}\right)\\
&\qquad\quad + \frac{(1+\kappa)^2(1-\kappa)^2}{36}(1+\lambda_{13}\lambda_{24})(1+\lambda_{14}\lambda_{23}) - \frac{\kappa(1-\kappa)^2}{18}(1-\lambda_{13}\lambda_{24})(1-\lambda_{14}\lambda_{23}),\\
&Q^{\kappa}_{5,1}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2||\lambda_3,\lambda_4,\lambda_5) = \frac{1+\kappa}{2}(1+\lambda_{15}\lambda_{25})Q^{\kappa}_{4,1}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2||\lambda_3,\lambda_4)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - \frac{1+\kappa}{2}\frac{(1-\kappa)^4}{120}(\lambda_{12}^2-4)(4+\lambda_{13}\lambda_{23}+\lambda_{14}\lambda_{24}),\\
&Q^{\kappa}_{5,2}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2|\lambda_3,\lambda_4||\lambda_5) = \frac{1+\kappa}{2}(1+\lambda_{15}\lambda_{25})(1+\lambda_{35}\lambda_{45})Q^{\kappa}_{4,2}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2|\lambda_3,\lambda_4||)\\
&+ \frac{(1-\kappa)^2}{360}(\lambda_{12}^2-4)(\lambda_{34}^2-4)\left\{(1+\kappa)(1-\kappa)^2(\lambda_{14}\lambda_{23}+\lambda_{13}\lambda_{24}) + 5(1+\kappa+\kappa^2+\kappa^3)\right\}\\
&- \frac{\kappa(1+\kappa)(1-\kappa)^2}{36}\left\{10 - 2(\lambda_{12}^2+\lambda_{34}^2+\lambda_{14}\lambda_{23}\lambda_{13}\lambda_{24}) + (\lambda_{14}\lambda_{23}+\lambda_{13}\lambda_{24}+2)(\lambda_{15}\lambda_{25}+\lambda_{35}\lambda_{45}-1)\right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{28}
$$

One can confirm, the previous known results of two-point spin-spin correlators (2),...,(5) are reproduced through the relation (8). In a similar way, we have calculated $P^{\kappa}_{6}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_6)$. Since its expression is too complicated, we present here only the final two new results of correlation functions among six lattice sites. The first one is the two-point fifth-neighbor spin-spin correlator,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle S_j^z S_{j+5}^z \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{12} - \frac{25}{3}\zeta_a(1) + \frac{800}{9}\zeta_a(3) - \frac{1192}{3}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(3) - \frac{15368}{9}\zeta_a(3)^2 - 608\zeta_a(3)^3 - \frac{4228}{9}\zeta_a(5) \\
&+ \frac{64256}{9}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(5) - \frac{976}{9}\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(5) + 3648\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(5) - \frac{3328}{3}\zeta_a(3)^2\zeta_a(5) - \frac{76640}{3}\zeta_a(5)^2 \\
&+ \frac{66560}{3}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(5)^2 + \frac{12640}{3}\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(5)^2 + \frac{6400}{3}\zeta_a(5)^3 + \frac{9674}{9}\zeta_a(7) - \frac{225848}{9}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(7) \\
&+ 56952\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(7) - \frac{116480}{3}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(7) - \frac{35392}{3}\zeta_a(3)^2\zeta_a(7) + 7840\zeta_a(5)\zeta_a(7) \\
&- 8960\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(5)\zeta_a(7) - \frac{66640}{3}\zeta_a(7)^2 + 31360\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(7)^2 - 686\zeta_a(9) + 18368\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(9) \\
&- 53312\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(9) + 35392\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(9) + 16128\zeta_a(3)^2\zeta_a(9) \\
&+ 38080\zeta_a(5)\zeta_a(9) - 53760\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(5)\zeta_a(9) \\
&= -0.030890366647609\cdots . \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}
$$

Another one is a six-spin correlation function

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\lambda_j \to 0} P_6^{\kappa=-1}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_6) = 2^6 \ \langle \prod_{j=1}^{6} S_j^z \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{7} - 12\zeta_a(1) + \frac{1112}{5}\zeta_a(3) - \frac{3776}{3}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(3) - \frac{100736}{15}\zeta_a(3)^2 - \frac{352768}{135}\zeta_a(3)^3 - \frac{71656}{35}\zeta_a(5) \\
&+ \frac{442496}{15}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(5) + \frac{15104}{15}\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(5) + \frac{705536}{45}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(5) - \frac{212992}{45}\ \zeta_a(3)^2\zeta_a(5) \\
&- \frac{6796736}{63}\zeta_a(5)^2 + \frac{851968}{9}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(5)^2 + \frac{161792}{9}\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(5)^2 + \frac{1723520}{189}\zeta_a(5)^3 + \frac{32432}{5}\zeta_a(7) \\
&- \frac{350336}{3}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(7) + 241888\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(7) - \frac{1490944}{9}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(7) - \frac{2265088}{45}\zeta_a(3)^2\zeta_a(7) \\
&+ \frac{312064}{9}\zeta_a(5)\zeta_a(7) - \frac{344704}{9}\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(5)\zeta_a(7) - \frac{833168}{9}\zeta_a(7)^2 + \frac{1206464}{9}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(7)^2 \\
&- \frac{23256}{5}\zeta_a(9) + \frac{443008}{5}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(9) - \frac{3437248}{15}\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(9) + \frac{2265088}{15}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(3)\zeta_a(9) \\
&+ \frac{344704}{5}\zeta_a(3)^2\zeta_a(9) + \frac{476096}{3}\zeta_a(5)\zeta_a(9) - \frac{689408}{3}\zeta_a(1)\zeta_a(5)\zeta_a(9) \\
&= -0.440301669702626\cdots . \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}
$$

One can see that these analytical expression have the same structure as $P(6)$ previously obtained in [15]. Namely they are the polynomials of $\zeta_a(1), \ldots, \zeta_a(9)$ of degree 3 with different rational coefficients. We have confirmed these results (29) and (30) by comparing with the numerical data by the exact diagonalization for the finite systems.

In summary we have presented a new effective method to calculate the analytical form of the spin-spin correlators starting from the generating function. In particular we could obtain the fifth-neighbor correlator $\left\langle S_j^z S_{j+5}^z \right\rangle$. The details of the calculations and the further results will be reported in a separate publication. We are grateful to K. Sakai, M. Takahashi and Z. Tsuboi for valuable discussions. This work is in part supported by Grant-in-Aid for the Scientific Research (B) No. 14340099 from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. MS is supported by Grant-in-Aid for young scientists No. 14740228.

---

[1] H.A. Bethe, Z. Phys. **76** (1931) 205.

[2] M. Takahashi, *Thermodynamics of One-Dimensional Solvable Models*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[3] L. Hulthén, Arkiv Mat. Astron. Fysik **A 26** (1938) 1.

[4] M. Takahashi, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **10** (1977) 1289.

[5] K. Sakai, M. Shiroishi, Y. Nishiyama, M. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. E **67** (2003) 065101.

[6] H.E. Boos, V.E. Korepin, J. Phys. A **34** (2001) 5311.

[7] H.E. Boos, V.E. Korepin, *"Evaluation of integrals representing correlators in XXX Heisenberg spin chain"* in. MathPhys Odyssey 2001, Birkhäuser, Basel, (2001) 65.

[8] M. Jimbo, K. Miki, T. Miwa, A. Nakayashiki, Phys. Lett. A **168** (1992) 256.

[9] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, *Algebraic Analysis of Solvable Lattice Models*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics vol.**85**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1994.

[10] V.E. Korepin, A. Izergin, F.H.L. Essler and D. Uglov, Phys. Lett. A **190** (1994) 182.

[11] A. Nakayashiki, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9** (1994) 5673.

[12] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, J.Phys. A **29** (1996) 2923.

[13] N. Kitanine, J.M. Maillet, V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **567** (2000), 554.

[14] H.E. Boos, V.E. Korepin, Y. Nishiyama and M. Shiroishi, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **35** (2002) 4443.

[15] H.E. Boos, V.E. Korepin, F.A. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B **658** (2003) 417.

[16] H.E. Boos, M. Shiroishi, M. Takahashi, Nucl. Phys. B **712** (2005) 573.

[17] A.G. Izergin and V.E. Korepin, Commun. Math. Phys. **99** (1985) 271.

[18] F.H.L. Essler, H. Frahm. A.R. Its and V.E. Korepin, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **29** (1996) 5619.

[19] N. Kitanine, J.M. Maillet, N.A. Slavnov, V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **641** (2002) 487.

[20] N. Kitanine, J.M. Maillet, N.A. Slavnov, V. Terras, Nucl. Phys. B **712** (2005) 600.

[21] N. Kitanine, J.M. Maillet, N.A. Slavnov, V. Terras, *"On the spin-spin correlation functions of the* XXZ *spin-*$\frac{1}{2}$ *infinite chain"*, hep-th/0407223.

[22] H. Boos, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov and Y. Takeyama, *"A recursion formula for the correlation functions of an inhomogeneous XXX model"*, hep-th/0405044.

[23] H. Boos, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov and Y. Takeyama, *"Reduced qKZ equation and correlation functions of the XXZ model"*, hep-th/0412191.